

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/495,715	02/01/2000	Hideyuki Miyata	1480.1003	5208	
21171	7590 05/09/2005		EXAMINER		
STAAS & HALSEY LLP SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.			PASCAL, LESLIE C		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	ON, DC 20005		2633		
			DATE MAN ED 05/00/200	DATE MAIL ED. 05/00/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/495,715	MIYATA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Leslie Pascal	2633			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a		1			
Period for Reply						
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION MINICATION SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stareply received by the Office later than three months after the material part of the material start of	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fruit total cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed  days will be considered timely.  rom the mailing date of this communication.  DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 April 2005</u> .					
2a)□						
3)	<u> </u>					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims		•			
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8,10 and 24-53</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>40-47</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>8,10,24-26,29,30,33,34,37 and 48-53</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠	•					
8)∐	B)☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume  2. Certified copies of the priority docume  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume  application from the International Bure  See the attached detailed Office action for a light	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applic rionty documents have been rece eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) 🛛 Infori	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 er No(s)/Mail Date <u>14</u> .		Date al Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Page 2

1. In the applicant's response, the applicant pointed out that only one IDS was returned with the previous Office Action. The applicant supplied a copy of the IDS with his response. The supplied IDS could not be found in the Office file. The examiner regrets that this IDS was not available to her earlier, especially in view of the new rejection based on one of the references in the IDS.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 8, 10, 24-26, 29-30, 33-34, 37, 48-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Nagakubo et al (5900621 of record- 10-123471 JP of record).

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

In figures 14 and/or 16, Nagakubo et al teach an optical modulating means (2) attenuating/regulating means upstream from modulator (figure 14, 20), attenuating/regulating means downstream from modulator (figure 16, 22). In regard to

Art Unit: 2633

claim 49, Nagakubo et al teach detector means (6, 15). In regard to claim 50, Nagakubo et al teach controlling means (12).

4. Claims 8, 10, 24-26, 29-30, 33-34, 37, 48-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nagakubo et al (10-123471 JP of record which the applicant says corresponds to US 5900621 in IDS).

In figures 12 and/or 14, Nagakubo et al teach an optical modulating means (2) attenuating/regulating means upstream from modulator (figure 12, 20), attenuating/regulating means downstream from modulator (figure 14, 22). In regard to claim 49, Nagakubo et al teach detector means (6, 15). In regard to claim 50, Nagakubo et al teach controlling means (12).

- 5. Claims 40-47 are allowed.
- 6. Claims 27-28, 31-32, 35-36, 38-39 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie Pascal whose telephone number is 571-272-3032. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Friday 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan can be reached on 571-272-3022. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/495,715

Art Unit: 2633

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LESLIF PASCAL
MINER